UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Michael Sean Speight

V.

Civil No. <u>1:24-cv-12363</u> Chief Judge Patti B. Saris

Amanda Kaelblein Michael Kaelblein Nancy Kaelblein Eric Stephan(Professional, individual capacity)

PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION OF MOTION TO DISMISS FILED BY ERIC STEPHAN.

The Plaintiff objects to the Defendant's Motion To Dismiss.

 The AG in his motion to dismiss, attempts to shift blame from DOR lawyer Eric Stephan to Judge Phelan even though the Plaintiff named Judge Phelan in his original complaint. . The evidence shows Eric Stephan still played a role and is responsible, for violating the Plaintiff's rights, with private individuals.

- 2. We don't know who signed the order and that's why the claim needs to move forward and not be dismissed.
- 3. Eric Stephan does not get Prosecutorial immunity, all of his actions for Massachusetts DOR are administrative.
- 4. The Defendant does not get 11th Amendment immunity, due to his
- This Court does have Subject Matter Jurisdiction over this claim.
- 6. Rooker-Feldman, and Younger doctrines do not apply to the order it ris vacated, and Plaintiff is not trying to overturn a state court judgement.

WHEREFORE, the Defendants Motion To Dismiss should be denied.

Respectfully Submitted,

Michael Sean Speight 15 Ingham Rd.

Merrimack, NH 03054

Speight6317@gmail.com

(978) 684-2496